Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,732	HAAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Hess</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 February 2007	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative confirmed that an appeal brief had not been filed with the Office. Application is abandoned.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
A		
Examiner Spe Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and rademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)